## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

WARREN EASTERLING, :

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:14-cv-130

V.

JUDGE WALTER H. RICE

JUDGE MARY DONOVAN, et al.,

Defendants.

DECISION AND ENTRY ADOPTING UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (DOC. #17); OVERRULING PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS THERETO (DOC. #18); OVERRULING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT (RULE 60(b)) (DOC #16); JUDGMENT TO ENTER IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANTS AND AGAINST PLAINTIFF; CASE TO REMAIN TERMINATED ON COURT'S DOCKET

Based on the reasoning and citations of authority set forth by United States Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz, in his August 17, 2015, Report and Recommendations, Doc. #17, as well as on a thorough *de novo* review of this Court's file and the applicable law, the Court ADOPTS said judicial filing in its entirety. Plaintiff's Objections, Doc. #18, concerning the applicability of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine, are OVERRULED as meritless for reasons previously explained by the Court on multiple occasions.

The Court OVERRULES Plaintiff's Rule 60(b) Motion for Relief from Judgment, Doc. #16. Furthermore, as recommended by Magistrate Judge Merz,

Plaintiff is strongly cautioned that additional frivolous filings in this Court

concerning the applicability of the Rooker-Feldman doctrine will, very likely, result

in the imposition of Rule 11 sanctions. This is true not only in the above-captioned

case, but in each of the many duplicative cases that Plaintiff has filed in this Court.

If Plaintiff disagrees with this Court's rulings, he may file a timely appeal with the

Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Judgment shall be entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff. This

case shall remain terminated on the Court's docket.

Date: September 2, 2015

WALTER H. RICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE